

Electronic Acknowledgement Receipt

EFS ID:	1064290
Application Number:	10674109
Confirmation Number:	2439
Title of Invention:	Wireless telecommunication system
First Named Inventor:	Andrew John Farnsworth
Customer Number:	44208
Filer:	Robert H. Kelly./Liis Pramasurja
Filer Authorized By:	Robert H. Kelly.
Attorney Docket Number:	1578.622
Receipt Date:	01-JUN-2006
Filing Date:	29-SEP-2003
Time Stamp:	16:13:14
Application Type:	Utility
International Application Number:	

Payment information:

Submitted with Payment	no
------------------------	----

File Listing:

Document Number	Document Description	File Name	File Size(Bytes)	Multi Part	Pages
1	Transmittal letter	Transmittal.pdf	54127	no	1

ATTACHMENT A-1

Warnings:					
Information:					
2		AmendmentAF.pdf	126923	yes	6
	Multipart Description				
	Doc Desc		Start	End	
	Amendment Submitted/Entered with Filing of CPA/RCE		1	1	
	Claims		2	4	
	Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made in an Amendment		5	6	
Warnings:					
Information:					
3	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	RCE pdf	101010	no	1
Warnings:					
Information:					
Total Files Size (in bytes):			282060		
<p>This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503.</p> <p>New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111. If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for a filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application.</p> <p>National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371 If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of 35 U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course.</p>					



SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.

Legal counsel based on solid principles.

June 1, 2006

DOCKET NO.: 1578.622
CUSTOMER NO.: 44208

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

TRANSMITTAL

In re: Application No.: 10/674,109
Applicant(s): Andrew J. Farnsworth

Enclosed please find:

- ☒ Resubmission of "Amendment Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.114," previously filed on 22 May 2006 responsive to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated 23 May 2006.
- ☒ While the applicant filed an RCE on 22 May 2006 and no extension of time petition under 37 CFR 1.136 is believed to be necessary, if any extension of time is required, please consider this paper to be a request therefor. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication, including any extension of time petition fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-2032.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert H. Kelly
Registration No. 33,922

ATTACHMENT A-3

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal

Address to:
Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application Number	10/674,109
Filing Date	September 29, 2003
First Named Inventor	Farnsworth, et al.
Art Unit	2687
Examiner Name	Nguyen, Khai Minh
Attorney Docket Number	1578.622 (1160-US-PAT)

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application.

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.

1. **Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114** Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s).

- a. ☐ Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked.

- i. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on _____

- ii. ☐ Other _____

- b. ☒ Enclosed

- i. ☒ Amendment/Reply

- iii. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)

- ii. ☐ Affidavit(s)/ Declaration(s)

- iv. ☐ Other _____

2. Miscellaneous

- Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR 1.103(c) for a period of _____ months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months. Fee under 37 CFR 1.179 is required).
- a. ☐ Period of _____ months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months. Fee under 37 CFR 1.179 is required).
- b. ☐ Other _____

3. Fees

- The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 502032.

- a. ☒ Deposit Account No. 502032

- i. ☒ RCE fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(e)

- ii. ☐ Extension of time fee (37 CFR 1.136 and 1.17)

- iii. ☐ Other _____

- b. ☐ Check in the amount of \$ _____ enclosed

- c. ☐ Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed)

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED

Name (Print/Type)	Robert H. Kelly	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	33,922
Signature	/Robert H. Kelly	Date	May 22, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Name (Print/Type)	
Signature	
Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

ATTACHMENT A-4

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. 10/674,109 Confirmation No.: 2439
Applicant(s): Andrew J. Farnsworth, et al.
Filed: September 29, 2003
Group Art Unit: 2687
Examiner: Nguyen, Khai Minh
Title: Wireless Telecommunication System

Docket No.: 1578.622
Customer No.: 44208

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.114

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated 22 February 2006, please amend the above-referenced application as follows:

Amendments to the claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 5 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims replaces all prior listings, and versions, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method of processing a message in a User Equipment (UE) mobile telecommunications device, the method comprising, in the UE mobile telecommunications device:
 - receiving a Cell Update Confirm (CUC) message;
 - determining whether the UE mobile telecommunications device has an empty cell identifier variable;
 - when the UE mobile telecommunications device has an empty cell identifier variable, determining whether the CUC message includes a new value for the cell identifier;
 - when the CUC message ~~includes~~ does not include a new value for the cell identifier and the CUC message would cause the UE mobile telecommunications device to enter a state which requires the UE mobile telecommunications device to respond to the message before entering the state, carrying out at least one further step prior to entering the state.
2. (Original) A method according to claim 1 wherein the further step comprises:
 - setting the INVALID_CONFIGURATION to TRUE.
3. (Original) A method according to claim 2 wherein the further step comprises:
 - sending a cell update message to the network.
4. (Original) A method according to claim 2 wherein the further step further comprises:
 - returning to an idle state.

5. (Original) A method according to claim 1 wherein the further step comprises:
sending a new cell update message including a field that indicates that the cause
for the cell update message is cell reselection.
6. (Original) A method according to claim 1 wherein the further step comprises:
acting on the cell update confirm message without transmitting a response to the
network.
7. (Original) A method according to claim 1 wherein the further step comprises:
ignoring the cell update confirm message.
8. (Currently amended) A method according to ~~any preceding~~ claim 1 wherein the
cell identifier variable is C_RNTI and the value of the cell identifier is C-RNTI.
9. (Currently Amended) A User Equipment (UE) device including apparatus for
processing a message in a mobile telecommunications system, the apparatus being arranged to:
receive a Cell Update Confirm (CUC) message from a network;
determine whether the UE device has an empty C_RNTI variable;
when the UE device has an empty C_RNTI variable, determine whether the CUC
message includes a new C-RNTI;
when the CUC message includes does not include a new C_RNTI and the CUC
message would cause the UE device to enter a state which requires the UE device to respond to
the message before entering the state, carrying out at least one further step prior to entering the
state.
10. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 9 wherein the apparatus is further
arranged to:
set the INVALID_CONFIGURATION to TRUE.

11. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 10 wherein the apparatus is further arranged to:

send a cell update message to the network.

12. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 9 wherein the apparatus is further arranged to:

return to the idle state.

13. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 9 wherein the apparatus is further arranged to:

send a cell update message including a field that indicates that the cause for the cell update message is cell reselection.

14. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 9 wherein the apparatus is further arranged to:

act on the cell update confirm message without transmitting a response to the network.

15. (Original) Apparatus according to claim 9 wherein the apparatus is further arranged to:

ignore the cell update confirm message .

16. (Original) A mobile telecommunication device incorporating apparatus according to any of claims 9 to 15.

REMARKS

In the above-mentioned Final Office Action, all of the pending claims, claims 1-16, were rejected under Section 102(e) over *Sharma*, US-2005/0009527 A1.

Review of *Sharma* indicates that its effective date is no earlier than its filing date of June 28, 2004. As the present application has a filing date of September 29, 2003, the Applicants believe the reference to be an improper reference by which to anticipate the claims of the present application.

For the reason that the reference is an improper reference, the rejection of the claims under Section 102(e) over *Sharma* is respectfully traversed.

In spite of the foregoing, independent claims 1 and 9 have been amended, as set forth herein. Support for the amendments is found in the specification, for instance, on page 6, line 26 - page 8, line 7 and in Figures 4, 5, 6, and 7, and steps 404, 504, 604, and 704 that state, "Is the new C-RNTI included in the CUC?", and as-filed claims 5 and 13.

Sharma appears to relate to cell update scenarios and C-RNTI. Paragraph 84 of *Sharma* indicates that a UE transition into a duplex state from a monitoring state occurs by first entering the transition state and awaiting completion of all procedures initiated by the UE sending uplink initiation communications while in the transition state. See also, e.g., Figures 6a and 6b of *Sharma*. *Sharma*, however, is silent as to the operation of the UE when the cell update confirm message does not include a new value for the cell identifier. Thus, there is nothing in *Sharma* to suggest that, when the CUC message does not include a new value for the cell identifier and the CUC message would cause the device to enter a state that requires a UE to respond to the message before entering the state (e.g., CELL_PCH or URA_PCH), then the UE is arranged to send a new cell update message including a field that indicates the cause for the cell update message is cell reselection. *Sharma* appears to be limited to a situation in which a temporary identifier is received.

As claims 1 and 9 recite that, when the CUC message does not include a new value for the cell identifier and the CUC message would cause the UE device to enter a state which requires the UE device to respond to the message before entering the state, of sending a new cell update message, claims 1 and 9, as now-amended, are believed to be distinguishable over *Sharma*.

As the remaining dependent claim includes all of the limitations of its parent claim, the remaining dependent claim is believed also to be distinguishable over *Sharma* for the same reasons as those given above with respect to its parent claim.

In light of the foregoing, *Sharma* is believed to be an improper reference by which to reject the claims and, in any event, fails to disclose the subject matter recited now in the claims. Accordingly, reexamination and reconsideration of the claims, and consideration for their allowance, is respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert H. Kelly/

Robert H. Kelly
Registration No. 33,922

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.
5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75225
Telephone: (214) 706-4201
Fax: (214) 706-4242
robert.kelly@scheefandstone.com